

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/720,549	TOFANI, SANTI	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Quang Nguyen, Ph.D.	1633	

**All Participants:**

(1) C. Richard Lohrman.

(2) Quang Nguyen.

**Status of Application:** Notice of Appeal filed

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 1 August 2005
**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
 N/A

Claims discussed:  
 N/A

Prior art documents discussed:  
 N/A

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  
 See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted Mr. Lohrman to inquire about the status of the above application, specifically whether Applicants have filed an Appeal Brief since it has been more than 7 months from the date of the filed Notice of Appeal. Mr. Lohrman informed the examiner that a continuation application has been filed..